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Pretreatment Program Overview

- 1600 POTWs with approved Pretreatment Programs in US (2010)
- 20,000 Significant Industrial Users (SI Us) discharge to those POTWs
- 2200 SI Us discharge to other POTWs (EPA or state)
- Wisconsin’s Pretreatment Program approved Dec, 1980 (3rd in US)
- Wisconsin has 26 approved Pretreatment Programs (soon to be 28)
- 530 SI Us discharge to those POTWs
- 140 SI Us discharge to other POTWs (DNR-regulated)
DNR’s version of the Streamlining rule (revised NR 211) became effective Feb. 1, 2014.

Streamlining implementation instructions emailed to 26 POTW Programs on 5/20/14.

Streamlining implementation instructions to 140 DNR-regulated industries will be emailed by 11/30/14.
Summary of Streamlining Reductions

The Streamlining Rule provides some modest reductions in regulatory requirements for Wisconsin industrial users and municipal pretreatment programs and adds some minor requirements:

Regulatory Reductions/ Increased flexibility for SI Us & POTWs:

- Sampling waiver for pollutants not present
- BMPs in place of numerical limits
- Non-Significant Categorical Industrial Users
- Middle Tier CI Us
- Mass to concentration limits or vice versa
- Alternative sampling arrangements
- Significant Non-compliance changes
- General permits
Summary of Streamlining Requirements

Added Requirements for IUs:
- Compliance with BMP requirements
- Report additional sample results
- Report changes in slug potential

Added Requirements for POTWs:
- Repeat SIU sampling
- Include BMPs and slug plans in permits
- Evaluate SIUs for slug control plan
- New report signature requirements

Municipal programs will need to submit their modified ordinances and model permits to DNR for review and approval prior to implementing these non-substantial changes.
• Program submittals describing proposed incorporation of Streamlining provisions into Program ordinances and permits are due Jan. 31, 2015.

• Reasonable allowances will be made for late submittals.

• By 1/31/15 summarize: progress to date, remaining work & new submittal date
**REQUI RED** NR 211 Changes (Revised Table)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NR 211 Change number (from above) with short description</th>
<th>Associated EPA Model Sewer Use Ordinance (SUO) Line Number Reference (1st Link)</th>
<th>Associated EPA Model Permit Section Reference (2nd Link)</th>
<th>Program Document Change Required in SUO, SIU permits and/or Pret. Program Procedures</th>
<th>Enter Revised SUO section number for this change</th>
<th>Enter Revised SIU permit section number for this change</th>
<th>Enter Revised procedure s section number for this change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Include slug control in permit</td>
<td>1224</td>
<td>Part 4; Part 5, Section 2</td>
<td>SUO &amp; Permits</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Evaluate need for slug control plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Procedures (though not if evaluations have been completed – see F.Sh.#2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## WI Streamlining Rollout to POTWs

### OPTIONAL NR 211 Changes (RevisedTable)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NR 211 Change number (from above) with short description</th>
<th>Associated EPA Model Sewer Use Ordinance (SUO) Line Number Reference (1st Link)</th>
<th>Associated EPA Model Permit Section Reference (2nd Link)</th>
<th>Program Document Change Required in SUO, SIU permits and/or Pret. Program Procedures</th>
<th>Enter Revised SUO section number for this change</th>
<th>Enter Revised SIU permit section number for this change</th>
<th>Enter Revised procedures section number for this change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12. Cat. pollutant sampling waiver</td>
<td>1211, 1227, 1621, 1625, 1922, 1988</td>
<td>SUO, Permits &amp; Procedures</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Use BMPs to meet local limits</td>
<td>843</td>
<td>SUO &amp; Permits</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
NPDES Electronic Reporting Rule
(40 CFR Part 127 - Proposed 30 July 2013)

Meeting with MIT
10 April 2014
Goals of the Proposed Rule

This rule will help EPA and states clean up the nation’s waters, by:

• Bringing the NPDES Program into the 21st Century by shifting from paper to electronic reporting. Proposed rule establishes no new reporting requirements

• Saving money and time for the regulated community and for states (reduce data entry time, improve accuracy)

• Improving transparency and freeing resources to focus on the most important problems

• Using technology to obtain more accurate, timely, and complete information about the NPDES program
NPDES-Regulated Facilities

Note: This graph covers all discharge sources except for significant industrial users not under an Approved Pretreatment Program and dischargers operating under general permits for discharges from vessels and discharges from pesticide applicators.
What Does the Rule Do?

- Replaces much of the currently-required paper-based NPDES reporting with electronic reporting. Does not add additional reporting requirements on permittees.

- Obtains required information directly from the source where data is generated.

- Reduces burden of existing paper-based reporting from regulated facilities and reduces data entry errors by instead requiring electronic data submissions.

- Provides significant cost savings for states, primarily due to streamlining the processing of DMR data.

- Proposes to eliminate several existing state reporting requirements to EPA.
Key Concepts

• Proposed regulation will require that NPDES permittees electronically submit most of the required NPDES data (e.g., DMRs, NOIs, program reports) directly to states or EPA.
  – This information will need to be submitted to EPA in a nationally-consistent manner (called “Appendix A”).
  – These data submissions would meet EPA’s current signatory and chain of custody requirements in Parts 3 (CROMERR) and 122 (NPDES Regs)
  – This would include registration, subscriber agreements, electronic signatures, associated training, etc.

• Permittee electronic submissions will be supplemented by authorized state electronic submission:
  – Basic facility and permit data; and
  – Data originating from the states (e.g., inspections, violation determinations, enforcement actions).
More Efficient Existing Data Submissions
Two-Phase Implementation Approach

- **Phase 1 Data (One Year After Final Rule - 2016):** EPA and states would electronically receive:
  - Basic facility and permit information as well as inspections, violation determinations, and enforcement actions data from states;
  - DMR information from facilities; and
  - Information from general permit covered facilities [e.g., notices of intent to discharge (NOIs), notices of termination (NOT), no exposure certifications (NECs), and low erosivity waivers (LEWs)] for Federally-issued general permits.

- **Phase 2 Data (Two Years After Final Rule - 2017):** In addition to Phase 1 data, EPA and states would receive:
  - Information from general permit covered facilities for other state-issued general permits (see above); and
  - Program reports from all facilities.
Proposed Federal Dental Amalgam Rule

• A 2003 study funded by the American Dental Association (ADA) estimated that 50 percent of mercury entering POTWs was contributed by dental offices.

• EPA estimates there are approximately 160,000 dentists working in over 120,000 dental offices who use or remove amalgam in the United States - almost all of whom discharge their wastewater exclusively to POTWs.
Proposed Federal Dental Amalgam Rule, Cont’d

- EPA submitted (5/29/14) draft proposed rule to the Office of Management and Budget for interagency review of pretreatment standards for existing and new dental practices that discharge dental amalgam to publicly owned treatment works (POTWs).

- EPA also proposes to amend parts of the General Pretreatment Regulations (40 CFR Part 403) to streamline oversight requirements for the dental sector.
EPA will publish its proposed rule this Fall,

Allow 60 days for public comments,

Publish the Final Rule 12 - 18 months later

Rule will likely rely on dental BMPs, like those of American Dental Association, to control mercury
New Municipal Programs Being Developed

- Two more WI cities will be developing pretreatment programs:
  - Beaver Dam
  - Chippewa Falls
- Initial program submittals due summer and fall of 2015, respectively
UW Extension Solid & Hazardous Waste Ed. Center

• Offers free Pollution Prevention technical assistance
  • [http://www4.uwm.edu/shwec/programAreas/program1info.cfm?programId=1](http://www4.uwm.edu/shwec/programAreas/program1info.cfm?programId=1)

• John Katers Title: Assistant Professor
• Address: UW-Green Bay
  • 2420 Nicolet Drive, ES 317
  • Green Bay, WI, 54311
• Phone: 920-465-2278
• Fax: 920-465-2376
• Email: katersj@uwgb.edu
UW Extension Solid & Hazardous Waste Ed. Center

• Offers free Pollution Prevention technical assistance
  • [http://www4.uwm.edu/ shwec/ programAreas/ programl nfo.cfm?programId=1](http://www4.uwm.edu/ shwec/ programAreas/ programl nfo.cfm?programId=1)

• David S. Liebl Title: Waste Reduction and Management Specialist
• Address: 432 North Lake Street
  • Room 311
  • Madison, WI, 53706
• Phone: 608-265-2360
• Fax: 608-263-3160
• Email: liebl@epd.engr.wisc.edu
Dealing with Pretreatment Challenges

• Like anything else in life, dealing with Pretreatment issues presents challenges.

• Share them - with colleagues, with me!

• We’re available year-round and have lots of experience!